# An Axiomatic Study of Scoring Rule Markets



Rafael Frongillo CU Boulder Bo Waggoner UPenn January 2018

## **Prediction markets**

**Prediction market**: mechanism wherein agents buy/sell "contracts" ... thereby revealing "predictions" about a future event.

**Contract**: function f : outcomes  $\rightarrow$  money.

Question: How to choose available contracts/prices at each time?



### Example

Predict: total number of Trump Tweets in 2018

**Contract:** pay off 1 cent for every tweet

**Cost function**: convex C : total contracts sold  $\rightarrow$  total cost paid.

If  $\theta$  contracts have been sold so far, payment is  $C(\theta + 100) - C(\theta)$ .



## Prior work and this paper

Previously studied: cost function markets

- The price converges to expected value of the contract
- They are great<sup>1</sup>

Previously proposed generalization: scoring rule markets<sup>2</sup> (SRMs)

- Can make other kinds of predictions
- But are they great?

This paper:

- Propose axioms to address this question,
- apply to e.g. mode, median markets,
- characterize satisfaction of all axioms.

<sup>1</sup>[Abernethy, Chen, Wortman Vaughan 2013] <sup>2</sup>[Lambert, Pennock, Shoham 2008]

## Outline

- **1** Define scoring rule markets
- 2 Axioms and key examples
- <sup>3</sup> Characterization and new market
- 4 End talk

## **Background: Properties of distributions**

**Property** or *statistic* of a probability distribution:  $\Gamma : \Delta_{\mathcal{Y}} \to \mathcal{R}$ .

- mean
- mode
- median

**Scoring rule**: function  $S : \mathcal{R} \times \mathcal{Y} \to \mathbb{R}$ .

• 
$$S(r, y) = -(r - y)^2$$
  
•  $S(r, y) = \mathbb{1}_{r=y}$   
•  $S(r, y) = -|r - y|$ 

elicits mean elicits mode elicits median

## Why focus on SRMs?

#### Axiom (Incentive Compatibility for a property)

- market histories  $\longrightarrow$  prediction r
- max utility \Lefticity accurate prediction

#### Axiom (Path independence)

No gain from making a sequence of trades versus just one.



## Why focus on SRMs?

#### Theorem

Incentive Compatibility and Path Independence  $\Rightarrow$  SRM.

#### **Definition** (SRM<sup>3</sup>)

In a scoring rule market (SRM), the net payoff for moving the prediction from r' to r is

S(r,y) - S(r',y).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>[Hanson 2003; Lambert, Pennock, Shoham 2008]

#### **Robustness for free**

**Arbitrage**: purchase of a contract that is profitable in expectation for *every* belief.

#### Theorem

All SRMs satisfy **no arbitrage**: there is never an arbitrage opportunity.

## Outline

- 1 Define scoring rule markets
- <sup>2</sup> Axioms and key examples
- <sup>3</sup> Characterization and new market
- 4 End talk

## **Example: Mode**

Consider the SRM defined by  $S(r, y) = \alpha \mathbb{1}_{r=y}$ .

If  $\alpha$  is small:



If  $\alpha$  is large:



### First new axiom

**Liability** from purchasing contract(s): maximum possible net loss.

#### Axiom (Bounded Trader Budget')

Agents can usefully participate while maintaining arbitrarily small liability.

Theorem

**No** SRM for **any** "finite property" can satisfy BTB.

## **Example: Median**

Consider the SRM defined by S(r, y) = -|r - y|.

#### Theorem

If beliefs contain no point masses, **every** SRM for **every** quantile property satisfies Bounded Trader Budget.

## Motivating the main axiom

What can you do in a market? Both buy and sell.



But e.g. in the median market, agents sometimes...

- ... cannot decrease risk by "selling back" contracts
- ... cannot even decrease liability!

## Main axioms

#### Axiom (Weak Neutralization)

For any agent with liability d, there always exists a trade yielding net liability strictly less than d.

 $\Rightarrow$  can always reduce liability.

#### Axiom (Trade Neutralization)

For any agent with liability d, there always exists a trade yielding **constant** net liability strictly less than d.

 $\Rightarrow$  can always reduce liability and eliminate risk.

## **Example: Median, revisited**

Consider the SRM defined by S(r, y) = -|r - y|.

#### Theorem

**No** *SRM* for **any quantile** *satisfies Weak Neutralization* (*nor Trade Neutralization*, *therefore*).

#### **Theorem (known/direct)**

For any expectation of a bounded random variable, there exist SRMs satisfying all axioms.

(In particular, a cost function based market.)

## Outline

- 1 Define scoring rule markets
- 2 Axioms and key examples
- <sup>3</sup> Characterization and new market
- 4 End talk

#### Theorem (Main)

Any SRM satisfying Trade Neutralization can be written as a cost-function based market.

*Proof idea:* (1) Lemma showing that contracts mod price form a subgroup of  $\mathbb{R}^k$ ; (2) show pricing is given by single cost function. (*Hidden: bunch of convex analysis.*)

#### Corollary (Main)

Any market satisfying all our axioms is cost-function based, hence (essentially) elicits an expectation.

## What about WN? New market idea

**Predict**: ratio of expectations  $\mathbb{E}X/\mathbb{E}Y$ , e.g.  $\frac{\mathbb{E} \text{ Trump Tweets}}{\mathbb{E} \text{ Bieber Tweets}}$ . **Market**: use cost function market for Trump Tweets **But**: you *pay* in units of "Bieber contracts"



Satisfies WN, but not TN!

## **Takeaways**

- Scoring rule markets for properties like medians, modes, ...
- Proposed axioms for "good" (great?) markets
- Only property to satisfy all axioms: expectations
- Investigation leads to new market design ideas
- Other axioms?
- Innovative prediction mechanism ideas?



#### Thanks!